

Note

THE PILOYAN METHOD IN DTA STUDIES OF GLASS DEVITRIFICATION

A. MAROTTA, A. BURI and S. SAIELLO

Istituto di Chimica, Facolta d'Ingegneria, Napoli — Italy

(Received September 25, 1981)

A kinetic analysis of the applicability of the Piloyan method to the process of glass devitrification for evaluation of the exponent n in the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami equation is carried out.

Criado's conclusions concerning the value of the slope of the Piloyan plot are criticized.

In a previous paper [1] the non-isothermal devitrification of glasses was studied. A method was described for evaluating the exponent n of the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami (JMA) equation by comparing the slope nE/R of the Piloyan [2] plot ($\ln \Delta T$ vs. $1/T$) with the slope E/R of the Ozawa [3] plot ($\ln \beta$ vs. $1/T_p$).

This method was criticized by Criado [4], whose kinetic analysis concludes that the Piloyan plot gives a straight line with a slope E/R and not nE/R .

This conclusion, which disagrees with the experimental results [5, 6] and with the numerical analysis carried out by Colmenero et al. [7], is based on some incorrect assumptions, as shown in the following kinetic analysis.

The isothermal devitrification of glasses is well described by a JMA equation

$$-\ln(1 - \alpha) = (kt)^n \quad (1)$$

where α is the crystallization degree at time t , n is a parameter related to the crystallization mechanism [5, 8] and the constant k is usually found to be of the Arrhenius type

$$k = A \exp(-E/RT) \quad (2)$$

where E is the activation energy for crystal growth and A is a constant related to the number of nuclei [9].

When a glass devitrifies during a DTA run under non-isothermal conditions, as the temperature changes linearly with time, the JMA kinetic equation becomes:

$$-\ln(1 - \alpha) = \int_{T_0}^T \frac{d(kt)^n}{dT} dT \quad (3)$$

and the rate constant has to be expressed as

$$k = A \exp \left[- \frac{E^*}{R(T - T_0)} \right] \quad (4)$$

where T_0 is a constant.

During the crystal growth the time t and the temperature T are related by

$$T = T_0 + \beta t \quad (5)$$

where β is the DTA heating rate.

At each temperature T the rate of crystal growth is

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \beta \frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \beta(1 - \alpha) \frac{d(kt)^n}{dT} \quad (6)$$

The temperature derivative of $(kt)^n$ is

$$\frac{d(kt)^n}{dT} = \frac{1}{T - T_0} (kt)^n n \left(\frac{E^*}{R(T - T_0)} + 1 \right) \quad (7)$$

As in most devitrification reactions

$$\frac{E^*}{R(T - T_0)} \gg 1 \quad (8)$$

Eqn. (7) can be approximated as

$$\frac{d(kt)^n}{dT} = (kt)^n \frac{nE^*}{R(T - T_0)^2} \quad (9)$$

and Eqn. (6) becomes

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \beta(1 - \alpha) (kt)^n \frac{nE^*}{R(T - T_0)^2} \quad (10)$$

After Borchardt and Daniels [10] the deflection ΔT from the DTA baseline is proportional to the instantaneous reaction rate, and thus the slope of the plot $\ln \Delta T$ vs. $1/T$ (Piloyan plot) is

$$\frac{d \ln \Delta T}{d \frac{1}{T}} = \left(\frac{T}{T - T_0} \right)^2 \frac{d \ln \Delta T}{d \frac{1}{T - T_0}} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{d \ln \Delta T}{d \frac{1}{T - T_0}} = - \frac{nE^*}{R} \left[1 - (kt)^n - 2 \frac{R(T - T_0)}{nE^*} \right] \quad (12)$$

For low values of α (initial part of the DTA crystallization peak) ($(kt)^n \ll 1$; hence, taking into account relation (8), the term in brackets on the right side of equation (12) is very close to unity.

Hence

$$\frac{d \ln \Delta T}{d \frac{1}{T}} = - \frac{nE^*}{R} \left(\frac{T}{T - T_0} \right)^2 \quad (13)$$

In the narrow temperature range of the initial part of the DTA crystallization peak the terms $\frac{T}{T - T_0}$ can be regarded as constant and the kinetic equation is governed by an Arrhenius law.

So

$$\frac{d \ln \Delta T}{d \frac{1}{T}} = - \frac{nE}{R} \quad (14)$$

The kinetic analysis of Criado starts from the following rate equation

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = k(1 - \alpha) [-\ln(1 - \alpha)]^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \quad (15)$$

This equation can easily be derived from the more general Eqn. (6), assuming that

a)
$$E^*/R(T - T_0) \ll 1 \quad (16)$$

b)
$$(kt)^{n-1} = [-\ln(1 - \alpha)]^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \quad (17)$$

For most solid-state reactions one typically observes [11] that $E^* \gg R(T - T_0)$. Accordingly, assumption a) is incorrect and assumption b) is not valid under non-isothermal conditions.

To summarize, we can conclude that the JMA parameter n can be evaluated by using the Piloyan method if the activation energy value is already known.

If the value of E is unknown, the value of n can be evaluated [1] from the ratio of the slopes of the Piloyan and the Ozawa plots [2, 3].

References

1. A. MAROTTA and A. BURI, *Thermochim. Acta*, 25 (1978) 155.
2. G. O. PILOYAN, I. D. RYABCHIKOV and O. S. NOVIKOVA, *Nature*, 212 (1966) 1229.
3. T. OZAWA, *J. Thermal Anal.*, 2 (1970) 301.
4. J. M. CRIADO, *J. Thermal Anal.*, 19 (1981) 221.
5. A. MAROTTA, A. BURI and F. BRANDA, *Thermochim. Acta*, 40 (1980) 397.
6. A. LUCCI and L. BATTEZZATI, *Proc. ESTA 2*, Aberdeen, 1981 p. 567.
7. J. COLMENERO, J. ILARRAZ and J. M. BARANDIARAN, *Thermochim. Acta*, 35 (1980) 381.
8. K. MATUSITA and S. SAKKA, *Phys. Chem. Glasses*, 20 (1979) 81.
9. A. MAROTTA, A. BURI and F. BRANDA, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 16 (1981) 341.
10. H. J. BORCHARDT and F. DANIELS, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 79 (1957) 41.
11. J. A. AUGIS and J. F. BENNETT, *J. Thermal Anal.*, 13 (1978) 283.